Whitham Curtis Christoff

NOV 1 2 2008

10:03:36 a.m.

11-12-2008

1 /6

PTOL-413A (08-08)
Approved for use through 09/30/2008. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

| Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Application No.: 10/810,667 Examiner: Γran, Thuan Q. | | | C. Abrams Status of Applica | ation: Under N | nder Non-Final Rejection | |
| Tentative Participants (1)Mary E. Goulet of V | | (2) | , | | | |
| (3) | | (4) | | | | |
| Proposed Date of Interview: | | TBA Prop | osed Time: | ТВА | (AM/PM) | |
| Type of Interview Req (1) [×] Telephonic | | rsonal (3) [] Vie | deo Conference | | | |
| Exhibit To Be Shown of If yes, provide brief de | | | [×] NO | | | |
| Issues To Be Discussed | | | | | | |
| lssues (Rej., Obj., etc.) | Claims / Fig. #s | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed | |
| (1)103 Rej. | 30 | Peckover + Owens | [] | [] | . [] | |
| (2) | | | [] | [] | I] | |
| (3) | | | [] | [] | [] | |
| (4) | | | [] | I, I | [] | |
| [×] Continuation Shee | t Attached | | | | | |
| Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: | | | | | | |
| Please see the attached | drait. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| An interview was cond | ucted on the abov | e-identified application o | | | | |
| NOTE: This form shotsee MPEP § 713.01). | uld be completed | by applicant and submit | ted to the examine | r in advance of | the interview | |
| This application will n | | om issue because of appli | | | | |
| interview. Therefore, as soon as possible. | applicant is advis | ed to file a statement of t | he substance of this | s interview (37 (| CFR 1.133(b)) | |
| Mary ? | How | let | | | | |
| Applicant / Applicar | Signature | Examiner a | / SPE Signature | | | |
| | y E. Goulet | | | | | |
| Typed/Printed Name of | | presentative | | | | |
| Registration Number, if applicable | | | | | | |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

NOV 1 2 2008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

C. Abrams, et al.

Confirmation No. 1144

Serial No. 10/810,667

Group Art Unit: 3693

Filed: March 29, 2004

Examiner: Tran, Thuan Q.

For:

BUSINESS METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF THE BEST KNOWN VALUE AND BEST KNOWN VALUE

AVAILABLE FOR SECURITY AND CUSTOMER

INFORMATION AS APPLIED TO REFERENCE DATA

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DRAFT

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Sir:

This responds to the office action dated September 11, 2008.

Listing of the Claims begins at page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin at page 4 of this paper.

YOR920040110US1

Serial No.: 10/810,667

00280772AA

3 /6

7037877557

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27



Listing of the Claims:

The following is a complete listing of all the claims in the application. with an indication of the status of each:

1 - 29. (Canceled)

30. (Currently Amended) A method for providing entity valuation data for financial instruments, comprising:

receiving, at a reference data provider, an information data stream generated from each of a given plurality of entity valuation information vendors, each information data stream identifying the generating entity valuation information vendor and stated monetary value of at least one subject entity which is a financial instrument;

receiving a service arrangement data identifying an authorized vendor list for each of a plurality of customers, said authorized vendor list identifying entity valuation information vendors from among said plurality of entity valuation information vendors to which the customer has a given authorization to receive said vendor information data stream;

storing said service arrangement data at said reference data provider;

storing, at said reference data provider, a default rule for each of said customers, said default rule based at least in part on the customer stored service arrangement data, said default rule specifying an algorithm for generating a Best Known Value Available (BKVA) of a given subject entity:

generating, for at least one given subject entity, a best known value data (BKV), based on a collection of all of said entity valuation information vendor respective information data streams that include the respective vendor stated monetary value of said subject entity;

forming a set H of all of said entity valuation information vendors whose generated information data stream includes the generating vendor stated monetary value of said subject entity;

YOR920040110US1

Serial No.: 10/810,667

00280772AA

| generating for each of at least one of said customers, for said at |
|---|
| least one given subject entity, a customer-specific BKVA, wherein said |
| generating compares said customer authorized vendor list to said set H |
| and sets said customer customer-specific BKVA of the subject entity to |
| be said BKV if at least one of the vendors identified by the customer |
| authorized vendor list is within set H, else sets said customer |
| customer-specific BKVA of the subject entity in accordance with said |
| default rule stored for said customer, wherein said setting includes |
| applying said default rule algorithm to the information data stream of at |
| least one of the entity valuation information vendor identified by the |
| customer authorized vendor list, the generating of the customer- |
| specific BKVA being performed without knowledge of the data supplied |
| by vendors to which the customer for which the customer-specific |
| BKVA is generated does not subscribe; and |
| transmitting to each of at least one of said customers the |
| customer-specific BKVA of the subject entity; |
| while ensuring that no customer is aware of, has access to, or |
| otherwise benefits from a vendor data content unsubscribed for that |
| customer. |

11-12-2008

REMARKS

Claim 30 is pending in this application. As to the amendment to Claim 30, see, e.g., Applicant's specification at page 1, lines 13-15; page 4, lines 9-11 and sentence bridging pages 4-5; page 21, lines 1-7.

Claim 30 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peckover in view of Owens. The Examiner admits that Peckvoer "does not specifically teach an authorized vendor list." (Office action, page 5) The Examiner admits that neither reference teaches "that the user preference filter is for a plurality of customers." (Office action, pages 5-6) .

Applicants respectfully traverse this obviousness rejection.

Neither reference relates to valuation of financial instruments. Examples of "financial instruments" are government securities and exotic derivatives. Applicants' specification, page 1, lines 15.16. Valuation of financial instruments is quite different from electronic commerce (Peckover) or Internet shopping (Owens), and a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants' invention would not have the method of Applicants' Claim 30 suggested to him by Peckover and Owens.

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants' invention wanting to construct a system to provide entity valuation data for financial instruments would lack reason to turn to either Peckover or Owens, because it would be much more objectively logical for him to start with the existing conventional situation, namely, that historically firms have each built and maintained their own stores of Reference Data in isolation from other firms. (Applicants' specification, page 2, lines 8-9, which has the evidentiary effect of a Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.132.)

Another shortfall with the obviousness rejection is that the Examiner's takes the perspective of the customer and speaks in terms of whether or not the customer has expressly authorized something. In that regard, the customer perspective is the wrong perspective for Applicants' Claim 30, because Applicants' Claim 30 takes care not to leave to the customer to what

YOR920040110US1

Serial No.: 10/810,667

00280772AA

11-12-2008

he has access, because it is the method that has to keep away from a customer off-limits information even if any given customer would be perfectly happy to see or have benefit of information that is off-limits, unsubscribed-to for that customer. Neither reference teaches such a complexity much less how to deal with such a complexity.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the obviousness rejection are respectfully sought.

In view of the foregoing, it is requested that the application be reconsidered, that claim 30 be allowed, and that the application be passed to issue.

Should the Examiner find the application to be other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at 703-787-9400 to discuss any other changes deemed necessary in a telephonic or personal interview.

If an extension of time is required for this response to be considered as being timely filed, a conditional petition is hereby made for such extension of time. Please charge any deficiencies in fees and credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account 50-0510 (IEM-Yorktown).

Respectfully submitted,

Mary E. Goulet Reg. No. 35,884

WHITHAN: CURTIS

CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C.

11491 Sur, let Hills Rd., Suite 340

Reston, VA 20190 Tel. 703-7: 1-9400